Following is a definition of INTEREST-BASED BARGAINING (IBB):

INTEREST-BASED BARGAINING (IBB). A bargaining technique in which the parties start with (or at least focus on) interests rather than proposals; agree on criteria of acceptability that will be used to evaluate alternatives; generate several alternatives that are consistent with their interests, and apply the agreed-upon acceptability criteria to the alternatives so generated in order to arrive at mutually acceptable contract provisions. The success of the technique depends, in large measure, on mutual trust, candor, and a willingness to share information. (Compare with the duty to bargain in good faith.) But even where these are lacking, the technique, with its focus on interests and on developing alternatives, tends to make the parties more flexible and open to alternative solutions and thus increases the likelihood of agreement.

IBB often is contrasted with "position-based" bargaining, in which the parties start with proposals (which implicitly are solutions to known or inferred problems). However, even in position-based bargaining the parties normally are expected to justify their proposals in terms of their interests by identifying the problems to which the proposals are intended as solutions. (There is no case law in which FLRA has held that a refusal to so justify proposals constitutes bad faith bargaining.) Once the interests are on the table, the parties are in a position to evaluate their initial and subsequent proposals--whether generated by group brainstorming (a common method of generating alternatives in IBB) or by more customary methods-in terms of the extent they are likely to effectively and efficiently solve problems without creating additional problems. For an analytical treatment of the process, see Walton and McKersie's discussion of "integrative" bargaining in A Behavioral Theory of Labor Negotiations. For a popular treatment of the process, see Getting to Yes, by Fisher and Ury.

Excerpt from the **Labor-Management Relations Glossary** published by the **U.S. Office of Personnel Management** 1900 E Street, NW, Washington, DC 20415 | (202) 606-1800 | TTY (202) 606-2532

Santa Rosa Junior College Interest Based Problem Solving Ground Rules

- 1. When the parties reach consensus on a straw design, it is each individual's ethical responsibility to actively, publicly support and promote it to constituents.
- 2. Individuals will come to meetings prepared. Identify tasks at the end of each meeting including who is responsible and the deadline.
- 3. Before the first negotiating session, the parties will have surveyed their respective constituents and identified the issues for negotiation and the underlying interests associated with each issue.
- 4. The parties will mutually identify and develop data used in issue resolution, including criteria and/or standards.
- 5. The parties will not leave the interest phase of issue resolution until each negotiating team can restate the other party's interests.
- 6. Respect one another at all times. This means language used, body language, facial expressions, tone and tenor of voice.
- 7. Every member is empowered to refocus the group's attention to the ground rules.

Elements of Interest-Based Problem Solving

- 1. Describe the problem.
- 2. Identify stakeholders & their interests.
- 3. Create options.
- 4. Evaluate options against their interests.
- 5. Commit to solutions.
- 6. Agree to a plan of action.

How to Be Effective & Principled—Negotiating Money

Santa Rosa Junior College August 20, 2005

Annie Song-Hill

Center for Collaborative Solutions

Objectives

Understand:

- Major mistakes made by negotiators
- How to be strong and principled negotiators
- How to negotiate economic issues
- The cycle of school finance
- The requirements and consequences of AB 2756

Diplomacy is the art of letting someone else have your way.

Daniele Vare, Italian diplomat

Five Critical Mistakes of Negotiators

- Lack of Proper Preparation
- Taking Inflexible Positions
- Failure to Go Beneath Proposals
- Failure to Establish Yourself as Trustworthy
- Had Making It Personal

Mistake #1

Lack of Proper Preparation

Common Mistake

- Fail to develop a meaningful rationale for proposals
 - What problem or issue are you trying to solve?
 - What are your needs or interests?
 - Why did you choose this particular solution?

Common Mistake

Lack credible data or facts

- Inadequate or no data
- Failure to identify needed data
- Asking for data too late in process
- Not discussing up front how to collect data
- Failure to do your own research
- Selective presentation of data

Principles

- Be prepared to explain how you came up with a proposal
- Make your thinking process visible
- Ground proposals in credible data

Mistake #2

Taking Inflexible Positions

Definitions

Positions

 Potential solutions to which you become attached or committed

Needs or Interests

- The underlying motivation for what you want
- Your goals, desires, concerns, fears

Need or Position?

- Eliminate supervisor favoritism in selecting employees for promotional positions
- Post jobs internally for five days before going outside the agency
- Senior qualified candidate gets the job
- Improve the quality of work

Common Mistakes

- Presenting proposals without explaining the rationale
 - Leaving the other party to guess why you want your proposal
 - Leaving no room for other party to solve your problem without capitulating

Common Mistakes

- Becoming attached to a particular solution
 - Strongly advocating for a position instead of your interests
 - Lack of creativity and flexibility

Principles

- Be strong advocates for your needs and interests
- Be flexible about positions or outcomes

We do not have permanent friends.

We have permanent interests.

Barry Broad, Teamster lobbyist

Mistake #3

Failure to Go Beneath Proposals

Common Mistakes

- Not asking questions
 - Not trying to discover other party's
 - problems
 - story & perspective
 - goals and interests
 - Assuming you already understand
 - the other party's motivation and point of view

Common Mistakes

- Failure to reframe your own proposals or rationale
 - based on what we hear are the needs of the other party
- Failure to brainstorm other ideas
 - Not floating other ideas without commitment
 - Not thinking "outside the box"

Principles

- Seek to understand before being understood
- Make their thinking visible
- Be adaptive & creative

Mistake #4

Failure to Establish Yourself as Trustworthy

| SOFT | HARD |
|--|--|
| Participants are friends. The goal is agreement. | Participants are adversaries. The goal is victory. |
| Make concessions to cultivate the relationship. | Demand concessions as a condition of the relationship. |
| Be soft on the people and the problem. | Be hard on the people and the problem. |
| Trust others. | Distrust others. |
| Change your position easily. | Dig in to your position. |
| Make offers. | Make threats. |

| SOFT | HARD |
|---|--|
| Disclose your bottom line. | Mislead as to your bottom line |
| Accept one-sided losses to reach agreement. | Demand one-sided gains as the price of agreement. |
| Search for the single answer; the one they will accept. | Search for the single answer: the one you will accept. |
| Insist on agreement. | Insist on your position. |
| Try to avoid a contest of will. | Try to win a contest of will. |
| Yield to pressure. | Apply pressure. |

SOLUTION Negotiate on the Merits

| SOFT | HARD | PRINCIPLED |
|--|--|--|
| Participants are friends. The goal is agreement. | Participants are adversaries. The goal is victory. | Participants are problem solvers. The goal is a wise outcome reached efficiently and amicably. |
| Make concessions to cultivate the relationship. | Demand concessions as a condition of the relationship. | Separate the people from the problem. |
| Be soft on the people and the problem. | Be hard on the people and the problem. | Be soft on the people, hard on the problem. |
| Trust others. | Distrust others. | Proceed independent of trust. |
| Change your position easily. | Dig in to your position. | Focus on interests, not positions. |
| Make offers. | Make threats. | Explore interests. |

SOLUTION Negotiate on the Merits

| HARD | PRINCIPLED |
|--|--|
| Mislead as to your bottom line | Avoid having a bottom line. |
| Demand one-sided gains as the price of agreement. | Invent options for mutual gain. |
| Search for the single answer: the one you will accept. | Develop multiple options to choose from; decide later. |
| Insist on your position. | Insist on using objective criteria. |
| Try to win a contest of will. | Try to reach a result based on standards independent of will. |
| Apply pressure. | Reason and be open to reasons; yield to principle, not pressure. |
| | Mislead as to your bottom line Demand one-sided gains as the price of agreement. Search for the single answer: the one you will accept. Insist on your position. Try to win a contest of will. |

Tools for Building Effective Relationships

- Create ground rules
 - Develop ground rules together
 - Clarify what they mean
 - Commit by consensus

Tools for Building Effective Relationships

- Surface relationship issues as they occur
 - Name the problem
 - Describe the behavior & its impact on you
 - Do not ascribe intent
 - Mutually develop or reaffirm norms

Common Mistakes

- Lack of an <u>independent</u> set of principles
 - Reacting instead of being proactive and deliberate
 - Basing your behavior on the other party's behavior

Principles

- Be unconditionally constructive
- Act on your own principles irrespective of the other party
- Try to create common norms

Mistake #5

Making It Personal

Common Mistakes

- Personal attacks
 - Name calling, demeaning comments, sarcasm
 - Responding in kind and escalating
- Taking everything personally

Common Mistakes

- Not raising relationship issues
 - Instead
 - Hardening on content
 - Personal attacks
 - Assuming we know the other party's intent
- Losing sight of the goal
 - a fair and balanced agreement

Principles

- Never take it personally
- Never make it personal
- Keep your eye on prize

How to Negotiate Economic Issues

Broader Considerations

- Cultivate a relationship conducive to problem solving
- Understand
 - the problem
 - the interests of all the parties
- Appreciate the interdependencies

Broader Considerations

- Be aware of each party's risk aversion
 - What elements will inhibit either party from saying yes?
 - Look for contingencies to safeguard those fears

Broader Considerations

- Search for criteria
 - What feels fair, and/or makes sense given the identified interests?
- Develop a data-gathering plan up front
 - so the right data is ready when needed

Basic Process for Developing Standards

- Identify each stakeholder's interests
- Clarify and prioritize core interests
- Identify mutual and interdependent interests

Basic Process for Developing Standards

- Define criteria by which to measure whether the core interests are met
- Decide what data you need
- Decide how to jointly collect and share the data

Basic Process for Developing Standards

- Define criteria by which to measure whether the core interests are met
- Decide what data you need
- Decide how to jointly collect and share the data

Criteria

- Means of measuring whether an interest is met
- Objective, measurable and external standards
 - by which to judge the legitimacy of possible solutions

- Determine an appropriate negotiations plan with timelines.
 - Begin considering money early in negotiations
 - Plan enough time to select criteria and collect data

- Define the scope of the issue:
 - Total compensation or salary or benefits?
 - What will be included in "total compensation?"

- Identify the key stakeholders and their interests:
 - Identify and prioritize the core interests
 - Explore the mutuality or interdependence of the interests.

- Brainstorm possible criteria to measure whether each core interest is met
 - Select what seems fair or makes sense

- Explore the rationale for possible parameters
- Then choose the parameters

- Identify the targets for application of the criteria, where appropriate, and
- the rationale for that placement.

- Discuss in advance of data collection
 - What strategies you will use to apply available resources to move to the target,
 - How many.

- Determine
 - What data needs to be collected nad
 - How you will collect the data
 - so the data will be credible for both parties

- Plan how to communicate with constituents during the process
 - to avoid surprises

- Discuss
 - what is the relative priority of interests & criteria
 - how should they interrelate

- Develop options for compensation that use the criteria
 - Consider options for setting compensation in future years as well as the immediate year
 - Soft "formula" or standard components of compensation decision (e.g. effective COLA in your organization, contingencies in the event that ending undesignated balance exceeds projections, what to do with unanticipated income, etc.)
 - Consider any political consequences that emerged from the data

- Negotiate to conclusion on a compensation design
- If you develop a formula, test it against prior years before finalizing,
 - so that you can spot the unacceptable results (risk aversion)

- Determine how to explain the outcome and process to your constituents
- Determine how you will monitor and refine your plan over time